Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/613,067	NAGURA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thomas E. Lazo	3745
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>		
(1) <u>Thomas E. Lazo (USPTO)</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Eugene Varndell (29,728)</u> .	(4)	!
Date of Interview: 23 March 2005	Time: <u>3:30</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 1 and 5		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.	•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: An agreement was reached to more clearly claim the invention.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		·
Thomes E. Jay		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature - if appropriate)